



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/747,675	12/30/2003	Scott K. Brown	06975-379001 / AOL 139	2909
26171 7590 04/04/2008 FISH & RICHARDSON P.C. P.O. BOX 1022 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022				
EXAMINER				
LEE, CHUN KUAN				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2181				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
04/04/2008		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/747,675

Applicant(s)

BROWN ET AL.

Examiner

Chun-Kuan Lee

Art Unit

2181

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Alford Kindred (SPE).(3) Hussein Akhavanik (Reg. # 59,347).(2) Chun-Kuan Lee (Examiner).

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 24 March 2008.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☒ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: ____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 9.

Identification of prior art discussed: Monteiro et al. (US Patent 6,119,163) and Marks et al. (US Pub.: 2001/0053944).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The interview mainly discussed claims 1 and 9, wherein the attorney clarified the implementation of the claimed invention in association with independent claim 1; and the examiner agreed that the current amended claim 9 is not taught by the combined references of Monteiro and Marks.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/ALFORD KINDRED/

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required